

EKSELENS · SYSTEM OF PROOF

Evidence Graph Maturity Model

Prepared for Framework v1.0 · 06 Jul 2026

Powered by the ENTERPRISE360 reinvention engine — 12 value streams · 21 sectors · 20 business archetypes.

Most maturity models measure processes, not the evidence itself — which is how an organisation can be process-compliant yet unable to produce the evidence beneath a decision when it is tested. EGMM measures the **discipline that produces evidence**. The premise in one line: if you can reconstruct any material decision from its evidence graph, the discipline is fit; if you cannot, no amount of process rigour will save you when tested.

The five pillars

PILLAR	DEFINITION & DIAGNOSTIC QUESTION
Provenance	Every material claim carries a citation, a source tier, and a captor. Can we prove where any given fact came from?
Challenge	Every consequential decision is contested before it is taken. When was the last time a decision was reversed by challenge, and where is that recorded?
Continuity	The evidence trail runs from raw data through decision to disclosure without breaks. Can we reconstruct the decision six months later, from evidence, without asking the original authors?
Control	Every evidence node has a named owner and a tier. Do we know who is accountable for each piece of evidence, and at what level of consequence?
Disclosure	The evidence trail can be released without rework. If a regulator asked for the evidence tomorrow, what percentage of it is release-ready today?

The five levels

LEVEL	DESCRIPTION
L1 Absent	The discipline does not exist; evidence is not captured.
L2 Ad-hoc	The discipline exists in pockets; there is no standard.
L3 Defined	The discipline is written down; it is not consistently applied.
L4 Managed	

	The discipline is consistently applied and measured.
L5 Continuous	The discipline operates in flight and feeds ERIO.

How to score

Each pillar carries five statements — one per level. Score each 0 (not observed) · 1 (partially observed) · 2 (fully observed & evidenced), against evidence not intention. Pillar total = sum of its five statements (0–10); aggregate = the mean of the five pillar totals. Bands: Absent 0-2 · Ad-hoc 2-4 · Defined 4-6 · Managed 6-8 · Continuous 8-10. Do not skip a rung if the one below is only partially observed. Break ties downwards — a flattering model is worse than none.

Reading the profile

- Balanced (all pillars within one band) — the discipline will hold under pressure.
- Analytical bias (high Provenance, low Challenge) — rigour without decision discipline.
- Internal bias (high Continuity, low Disclosure) — an audit trail without external readiness.
- The weakest pillar sets the ceiling for the whole discipline and is where remediation begins.

ERIO readiness

- Below 2 — remediation required before ERIO deployment.
- 2–4 — pilot ERIO in one function.
- 4–6 — deploy ERIO in one business unit.
- 6–8 — deploy ERIO enterprise-wide.
- 8–10 — ERIO can operate at full capability.

An asset of the Ekselens System of Proof. EGMM diagnoses the graph; ERIO operates it. Companion to the MRM Framework and its Model Card. Buying ERIO without the discipline produces decoration, not proof.

Powered by EKSELENS360 · Confidential — for the named client only. Figures are indicative until validated with the client.